

#### HyTunnel-CS dissemination conference 14-15 July 2022, Brussels, Belgium

# Concrete spalling by hydrogen jet fires

F. Markert, L.S. Sørensen, W. Liu

Denmark's Technical University

### A.V. Gaathaug, A. Lach

(University of South-East Norway)



1049



Co-funded by the European Union

# **Experimental setups**

# Exposure by heat radiation and gas flames



#### Test setup for exposure with heat radiation:

- Electrically heated furnace to test loaded concrete cylinders
- Through an opening in the furnace the concrete is exposed to the heat radiation of about 45 kW/ m<sup>2</sup> (@ 1000 °C)



#### Low pressure impinging propane flame setup:

- Test setup for tests of concrete wall elements in compression, with propane and hydrogen flame exposure
- A concrete wall 1000mm x 1000mm x 100mm. compression 1.2MPa



# **Experimental setups**

#### High-pressure hydrogen setup

High pressure line 700 bar





 Impinging hydrogen flame setup for exposure of a concrete wall

Wall dimensions 1000 mm x 1000 mm x 100 mm.

Compression load 1.2 MPa

Hydrogen at 700 bar, nozzle 3 mm





# **Concrete types investigated**

Screening test with furnace setup

No	Characteristics	W/C ratio	MC	FA	PL	PP N or F	AG
Α	Reference	0.45	0%	0%	-	0%	Sea
В	Dense	0.40	1%	0%	+	0% or 1%	Sea
С	Dense+	0.35	2%	2%	+	0% or 1%	Sea
D	Dense+ High strength	0.30	4%	0%	+	0% or 1%	Sea

Characteristics of the concrete types.

*W/C=water/cement ratio, MC=micro-silica, FA=fly ash, PL=plasticizer, PP=polypropylene (N= no fibres, F= fibres), AG=aggregates, %=w/w%* 



# **Concrete screening results**

#### **Selection of concrete types**

Sample	Spalling	Debris (g)	Remark
A-N-7	Yes	0.5	8 tests – 2 spallings
A-N-8	yes	2.7	
B-N-1	yes	60.9	5 tests – 2 spallings
B-N-4	yes	0.5	
B-F	NO		5 tests – no spalling
C-N-4	Yes	13.0	5 tests – 2 spallings
C-N-5	yes	27.6	
C-F	NO		5 tests – no spalling
D-N-2	Yes	22.8	5 tests – 4 spallings
D-N-3	Yes	18.6	
D-N-4	Yes	16.5	
D-N-5	yes	30.5	
D-F	NO		5 tests – no spalling

X-N = no PP fibres



X-F = with PP fibres

# **Concrete types for the wall elements**

#### Selected concrete types after screening

Wall	Concrete	Characteristics	W/C	MC	FA	PL	PP	AG
			ratio	%	%		%	
1	В	Dense	0.40	1	0	+	1	Sea
2	С	Dense+	0.35	2	2	+	1	Sea
3	D	Dense+ High strength	0.30	4	0	+	1	Sea

Characteristics of the concrete types casted as a 1000 mm x 1000 mm x 100 mm walls.

*W/C=water/cement ratio, MC=micro-silica, FA=fly ash, PL=plasticizer, PP=polypropylene, AG=aggregates, %=w/w%* 



## Results LPG exposure

el





- Temperature profiles for wall element 2 WE2, w/c=0.35, concrete C (test date 29-6-2021, start at 11:29).
- T @depths: 0mm, 10 mm, 20mm, 30 mm and 80 mm) inside the concrete
- HRR average = 13.2 KW/s
- Total energy released in 1800 s: 23.8 MJ

Wall element	W/C	Concrete type	PP- Fibers	Gas flow (g/min)	HRR flame (kW)	Spalling	Cracks
WE1	0.40	В	Yes	16.50	12.65	No	Fine
WE2	0.35	С	Yes	17.75	13.53	No	Fine
WE3	0.30	D	Yes	17.60	13.49	(No)*	Fine

#### Hydrogen impacts

- Amount of hydrogen: 1.46 kg
- Release time: 200 s
- Total energy released in 200 s: 207.1 MJ
- HRR average\_200s = 1.04 MW
- HRR\_peak = 6.3 MW



Wall element	W/C	Concrete type	PP- Fibers	Peak Gas flow (g/s)	Peak HRR flame (MW)	spalling	Cracks
WE1	0.40	В	Yes	53	6.2	No	Fine
WE2	0.35	С	Yes			yes	Fine
WE3	0.30	D	Yes			yes	Fine



#### Hydrogen impacts wall 3 concrete type D



Exp 1

Exp 2 Test date 2-3-2022 morning Test date 2-3-2022 afternoon



#### Hydrogen impacts wall 2 concrete type C





Exp 3 Test date 3-3-2022 morning

Exp 4 Test date 3-3-2022 afternoon



#### **Results** Hydrogen impacts wall 1 concrete type B



Exp 5

Exp 6 Test date 4-3-2022 morning Test date 4-3-2022 afternoon



#### Hydrogen – analysis of material cracks

Testing procedure





#### **Crack analysis**



Sample 3-1

tunnel



Sample 3-2

Sample 3- bottom

#### **Crack analysis**

sample	Number of pores	Pore area ratio (%)	Total area of major cracks (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Major cracks area ratio (%)
3-bottom (ref.)	253	8.1	0.003	0.023
3-1	334	3.1	0.025	0.184
3-2	380	20.2	0.038	0.279
2-bottom (ref.)	224	1.4	0	0
2-1	175	1.1	0.013	0.099
2-2	293	2.5	0.016	0.12



# **Conclusions for hydrogen exposure**

#### **Concrete types B, C, D with PP fibres**

- Surface temperature about 1200 C for H2 compared to 950 C for LPG (over 1800s)
- Some surface spalling is observed for the more dense concrete types C and D , not for B
- Major cracks -Impact on concrete core depth is about 1.8cm deep, for an impingning initial 6 MW hydrogen flame lasting for 200s (nozzle 3mm; 1.02 kg Hydrogen)
- Longer lasting hydrogen flames using a larger amount of hydrogen and/or smaller nozzle sizes may lead to an increased heating of the concretes raising the temperature at greater depth inside the concrete.

See also Contribution from HSE Sara Bergin later today





# Acknowledgements

Thank you for listening Frank Markert fram@dtu.dk This project has received funding from the Fuel Cells and Hydrogen 2 Joint Undertaking (now Clean Hydrogen Partnership) under Grant Agreement No 826193. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program, Hydrogen Europe and Hydrogen Europe Research.

Clean Hydrogen Partnership

Co-funded by the European Union

